HAT 1 (Project Operations) Stakeholder Meeting Summary
September 11, 2019
9 am to 11 am
Oxford Civic Center, Oxford, AL

Participants:
See Attachment A

Participants by Phone:
Chuck Denman – Downstream Property Owner
Sarah Salazar – FERC
Monte TerHaar – FERC
Kyrstin Wallach – FERC

Action Items:

- Alabama Power will post the HAT 1 meeting summary and all meeting materials to the Harris Relicensing website (www.harrisrelicensing.com)

Summary
The following summarizes the September 11, 2019 Harris Action Team (HAT) 1 (Project Operations) meeting. The meeting presentation is included in Attachment B; therefore, this meeting summary focuses on the overall meeting purpose, highlights of the presentation, and stakeholders' questions/comments and Alabama Power's responses.

Introduction – Angie Anderegg (Alabama Power)
Angie introduced the HAT 1 meeting purpose, reviewed the safety procedures, and introduced participants in the meeting room and by phone. The purpose of the HAT 1 meeting was to discuss all the models, the methods, and the model inputs and outputs (how the model will be used) for the Operating Curve Change Feasibility Analysis and the Downstream Release Alternatives Studies.

Operating Curve Change Feasibility Analysis – Kenneth Odom (Alabama Power)
Kenneth presented a detailed overview of the three models: Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) – Statistical Software Package (SSP) (HEC-SSP) and the Flood Frequency Analysis (HEC-FFA); the HEC-Reservoir Simulation (HEC-RES-Sim); and HEC-River Analysis System (HEC-RAS). Kenneth explained how each of the tools were used in the process and how Alabama Power will use these tools in evaluating the baseline condition (existing winter pool elevation) and the four alternative winter pool elevations (raising the winter curve by 1, 2, 3, and 4 feet). Kenneth also explained that the 100-year flood is a high streamflow event that has a 1 percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any year. Barry Morris (Lake Wedowee Property Owners Association-LWPOA) asked Kenneth to explain the difference between peak and inflow volume. Kenneth responded that the peak inflow is the maximum inflow – like the instantaneous peak. Inflow volume is the volume (acre-feet) that occurs over the full duration of the storm, which provides a better picture of the area occupied in the reservoir. This volume is cumulative over a flow event.

Barry asked about other data inputs in addition to the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) that Alabama Power would consider during a flood event. Kenneth noted that Alabama Power uses a

network of rainfall gages in addition to the stream flow gages. Additionally, Alabama Power knows the amount of water going through the forebay and spillway, which allows inflow as well as outflow to be calculated.

Barry Morris asked about the forebay water quality modeling. Jason Moak (Kleinschmidt) noted that the forebay water quality modeling would be used to address effects of the alternative winter pool elevations on water quality and temperature in the reservoir. Barry asked if the forebay modeling focused on temperature and dissolved oxygen; Kenneth stated that while the focus of the study is evaluating impacts to DO and temperature, the Environmental Fluid Dynamics Code (EFDC) model does incorporate other water quality/chemistry data.

Downstream Release Alternatives Study – Kenneth Odom

Kenneth also reviewed the tools for the Downstream Alternatives Study. Taconya Goar (Alabama Department of Conservation and Natural Resources – ADCNR) asked if this study would also include flood flows downstream. Angie Anderegg clarified that Alabama Power would review high, normal, and low flow operations in the Downstream Release Alternatives Study.

FERC staff asked if Alabama Power had determined what the modified Green Plan would entail. Jason Moak responded that Alabama Power is working to complete the habitat study and, based on the results of that study, Alabama Power will better define modifications to the existing Green Plan. A stakeholder asked about the difference between the continuous minimum flow alternative and the Green Plan and whether the Green Plan would have a minimum flow. Angie Anderegg responded that the Green Plan does not have a continuous minimum flow; however, the minimum flow alternative is the same daily volume (150 cfs) as the Green Plan pulses and the modified Green Plan would likely include changes to the timing of those pulses. Angie provided an example of how Alabama Power could modify the Green Plan to include shifting the pulses to occur in the early morning hours (e.g., 3 am) to support kayaking/boating activity later in the day.

Alabama Power discussed the cross-section data used to develop the HEC-RAS model. Jason Moak noted that this data will be available as x, y, and z points, and currently there are over 200 between the dam and Jaybird Landing. Donna Matthews asked if any of the 200 transects were monitoring real time data. Jason Moak responded that the transects are not monitors but are necessary to build the downstream HEC-RAS model. Alabama Power has deployed 20 level logger monitors in the Tallapoosa River below Harris Dam that are collecting data (elevation and temperature). Jason also noted that the USGS has recently installed a gage at Malone. Albert Eiland (downstream property owner) shared his experience with the high flow events in the Tallapoosa River and its effect on his property. He is concerned that raising the winter curve at Lake Harris will reduce any flood protection he may have on his property downstream of the Harris Dam. Barry Morris asked at what point in a rain event does the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) intervene. Alan Peeples (Alabama Power) noted that Alabama Power and the USACE are in constant communication during high flow events and that Alabama Power's flood control operations are dictated by the USACE Harris Reservoir Regulation Manual. Barry asked if Alabama Power can override the Harris Reservoir Regulation Manual. Alan noted that it is possible to ask the USACE for a variance; however, Alabama Power would be required to do additional modeling prior to that variance request. Mr. Eiland asked about operations in 2003, including why Alabama Power did not release water when they knew a rain event was coming to the Harris area. Alabama Power does not pre-evacuate the reservoir because weather forecasts

are often inaccurate, and Alabama Power must abide by the USACE flood control procedures specified in the Harris Reservoir Regulation Manual.

Angie Anderegg reviewed the next steps for the Operating Curve Change Feasibility Analysis and the Downstream Release Alternatives studies. Alabama Power will file a Progress Update on all the studies before the end of October 2019. Between October and the first quarter (Q1) of 2020, Alabama Power will be modeling the alternatives in each study plan and will prepare an Initial Study Report that must be filed with FERC in April 2020. The Phase 1 Modeling report will be part of the Initial Study Report and will include effects on downstream flooding, generation, navigation, and drought management. Phase 2 of these studies will address effects on other resources. Additional HAT 1 meetings will be held in Q1 2020.

ATTACHMENT A
HARRIS ACTION TEAM 1 MEETING ATTENDEES

HARRIS PROJECT RELICENSING

HAT 1 SIGN-IN SHEET

September 11, 2019 9:00 AM

	Name/ Affiliation or Organization	Email
1	*John Smith/ Stakeholder*	*jsmith@email.com*
2	Kelly Yates, Env. Affairs	kayates@southernco.com
3	Stacy Thompson APC Env. Affairs	sthompso@Southernco.com
4	DAVID Smith	inspector_003@yahoo.com
5	Glenell Smith	gardenergirl07@yahoo.com
6	Trey Stevens	trsteven@southernco.com
7	Joel Stevens	tjsteven@southernco.com.
8	Jason Moak	jason.moak@kleinschmidtgroup.com
9	Kelly Schaeffer	Kelly.Schaeffer@Kleinschmidtgroup.com
10	Barry Morris	rbmorris333@gmail.com
11	Mike Holley	mike.holley@dcnr.alabama.gov
12	Tina Freeman	tpfreema@southernco.com

HARRIS DAM
RELICENSING

HARRIS PROJECT RELICENSING

HAT 1 SIGN-IN SHEET

September 11, 2019 9:00 AM

	Name/ Affiliation or Organization	Email
13	Sheila Smith APC	Scsmith@southernco.com
14	ALBERT EILAND	EILANDFARM@ADL.COM
15	Nathan Aycock	Nathan.Aycock@dcnr.alabama.gov
16	Butch Jackson	~~Kotter~~ lakebutch@kw.com
17	Taconya Goar	taconya.goar@dcnr.alabama.gov
18	Sylvia French	sandnfrench@gmail.com
19	TOM GARLAND	jfcrew@southernco.com
20	JIM CREW	
21	Alan Peeples	alpeeple@Southernco.com
22	Kenneth Odom	kodom@southernco.com
23	Mitch Reed	mitchell.reed@tu.org
24	TINA L MILLS	TMills@SOUTHERNCO.COM

HARRIS DAM
RELICENSING

HARRIS PROJECT RELICENSING

HAT 1 SIGN-IN SHEET

September 11, 2019 9:00 AM

	Name/ Affiliation or Organization	Email
25	Fred Leslie/ADEM Field Ops	fal@adem.alabama.gov
26	Chris Goodman	cggoodma@southernco.com
27	Keith Crandles	
28	Carl Chaffin	cchaffin@alabama.org
29	Jason Carlee	jcarlee@southernco.com
30	Ashley McVicar	ammcvica@southernco.com
31	Donna Matthews	donnamat@aol.com
32	Kristie Coffman / ALCFWRU	kmo0025@auburn.edu
33	Jennifer Rasberry / APC	
34	HARRY E. MERRILL	HARRY.MERRILL47@gmail.com
35	FERC Staff on phone	Sarah Salazar
36		

ATTACHMENT B
SEPTEMBER 11, 2019 HAT 1 PRESENTATION

Alabama Power

R.L. Harris Project Relicensing

Project Operations – HAT 1

Model Inputs and Methodologies for Operating Curve Change Analysis and Downstream Release Alternatives

September 11, 2019




Downstream Release Alternatives Study
Downstream Aquatic Habitat Study
HEC-RAS
No Green Plan
Green Plan
Modified Green Plan
Minimum Flow
Habitat and Water Temperature Effects
Reservoir Effects
Recreation Study
Aquatic Resources Study
Erosion and Sedimenta-tion Study
Water Quality Study
Downstream Effects
Aquatic Habitat Study
Aquatic Resources Study
Erosion and Sedimenta-tion Study
Water Quality Study
Recreation Study
Operating Curve Change Feasibility Analysis Study
HEC-SSP
FFA
HEC Res-Sim
Baseline
1 Foot
2 Foot
3 Foot
4 Foot
HEC-RAS
Harris Forebay WQ Model
Downstream Flooding Effects
Forebay WQ Effects


Downstream Release Alternatives Study
Downstream Aquatic Habitat Study
HEC-RAS
No Green Plan
Green Plan
Modified Green Plan
Minimum Flow
Habitat and Water Temperature Effects
Reservoir Effects
Recreation Study
Aquatic Resources Study
Erosion and Sedimenta-tion Study
Water Quality Study
Downstream Effects
Aquatic Habitat Study
Aquatic Resources Study
Erosion and Sedimenta-tion Study
Water Quality Study
Recreation Study
Operating Curve Change Feasibility Analysis Study
HEC-SSP
FFA
HEC Res-Sim
Baseline
1 Foot
2 Foot
3 Foot
4 Foot
HEC-RAS
Harris Forebay WQ Model
Downstream Flooding Effects
Forebay WQ Effects

Where the models are used…



Harris Watershed Boundary



Where the models are used…



HEC-SSP (Statistical Software Package)



FFA

Flood Frequency Analysis for the Coosa and Tallapoosa Rivers

100-year flood

Why the 100-year flood?

- U.S. Government in the 1960’s decided the 100-year flood would be the basis for the National Flood Insurance Program, and it has been the standard since
- This makes the 100-year flood event the base of what MUST be studied

Exactly what do you mean by the “100-year” flood event?

- **It is a high streamflow event that has a 1-percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any year.**
- The keyword here is “chance”
- Consider the following: if we had 1000 years of annual streamflow data, we would expect to see ten 100-year floods (1-percent chance floods) over the 1000-year record. These ten events could occur at any time during the 1000-year period.

Let’s play a game of “chance.” Pick a number. One card has a dollar sign under it.
What are your chances of picking the right one?



Let’s play a game of “chance.” Pick a number. One card has a dollar sign under it.
What are your chances of picking the right one?



What if we turned the cards back over and shuffled the dollar sign to randomly land on any card and then I, once again, ask you to pick a number?

How many would pick the 4-Card again? Why or Why not?



How many would pick a different card because you think that 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6 will have the $ before it can come back around to the 4-Card?

Very Common Misconception

"If the 100-year flood just occurred, then we don't have to worry about another flood like that for the next 99 years."

WRONG!!!

(**For Illustration Purposes Only**)



Inflow Hydrograph for Nearby Stream, AL (**For Illustration Purposes Only)**



Time (hr)	Inflow (cfs)
0	5000
6	95000
12	50000
18	32000
24	15000

Inflow Hydrograph for Nearby Stream, AL **(For Illustration Purposes Only)**



Where the models are used…



Schematic used to discuss HEC-ResSim



How **HEC-ResSim** sees the Reservoir

1. FFA and ”scaled” actual event



HEC-ResSim

2.

Elevation-Volume Table

Res. Elevation	Volume (ac-ft)
790	394724
791	404840
792	415170
793	425721
794	436495



HEC-ResSim

2.

Elevation-Volume Table

Res. Elevation	Volume (ac-ft)
790	394724
791	404840
792	415170
793	425721
794	436495

What is an ac-ft (or acre-foot)?

It is a measure of volume where one acre-foot
is an area of one acre covered with one foot of water





HEC-ResSim

3. **Information about how much water can be passed through the turbines and the spillway gates at different water surface elevations**



HEC-ResSim

4.

Reservoir Regulation Manual

This tells us how the reservoir must be operated.

For high flows, the manual mandates how we must operate the **turbines and spillway gates** in accordance with approved **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers rules** called **Flood Control Regulation Schedule**



If **INFLOW** is higher than **OUTFLOW**: **ELEVATION** ↑

If **INFLOW** is less than **OUTFLOW**: **ELEVATION** ↓

If **INFLOW** is equal to **OUTFLOW**: No Change in ELEVATION




Inflow
NO control of this valve
Reservoir
Turbines and spillway gates operated according to Flood Control Regulation Schedule
Outflow

Outputs from HEC-ResSim

- How the reservoir elevation changes over time during a flood event

- The outflow hydrograph (turbines + spillway) to be used in **HEC-RAS**

***Both controlled by the Flood Control Regulation Schedule**

Where the models are used…



HEC-RAS cross-sections on a river

(For Illustration Purposes Only)



Schematic used to discuss HEC-RAS

(For Illustrations Purpose Only)





HEC-RAS Stream Cross Sections

(For Illustration Purposes Only)



What is a cross section?



HEC-RAS (For Illustration Purposes Only)





HEC-RAS (For Illustration Purposes Only)





HEC-RAS (For Illustration Purposes Only)





HEC-RAS (For Illustration Purposes Only)





HEC-RAS (For Illustration Purposes Only)





HEC-RAS (For Illustration Purposes Only)





If the winter pool is increased, what happens during a high-flow event?



AND…

What happens when more water is released?
(For Illustration Purposes only)





To summarize with a picture…




Downstream Release Alternatives Study
Downstream Aquatic Habitat Study
HEC-RAS
No Green Plan
Green Plan
Modified Green Plan
Minimum Flow
Habitat and Water Temperature Effects
Reservoir Effects
Recreation Study
Aquatic Resources Study
Erosion and Sedimenta-tion Study
Water Quality Study
Downstream Effects
Aquatic Habitat Study
Aquatic Resources Study
Erosion and Sedimenta-tion Study
Water Quality Study
Recreation Study
Operating Curve Change Feasibility Analysis Study
HEC-SSP
FFA
HEC Res-Sim
Baseline
1 Foot
2 Foot
3 Foot
4 Foot
HEC-RAS
Harris Forebay WQ Model
Downstream Flooding Effects
Forebay WQ Effects

Downstream Release Alternatives Study

HEC-RAS model



Alternatives Studied

- Green Plan
- No Green Plan
- Modified Green Plan
- 150 cfs continuous minimum flow



Downstream Release Alternatives Study

HEC-ResSim model

Alternatives Studied

- Green Plan
- No Green Plan
- Modified Green Plan
- 150 cfs continuous minimum flow



What else can HEC-RAS be used for?



- Downstream release alternatives
- Water quality
- Water Use
- Erosion
- Aquatic Resources
- Wildlife and Terrestrial Resources
- Recreation Resources
- Cultural Resources



What else can HEC-RAS be used for?

Measure wetted perimeter during low flow scenarios



What else can HEC-RAS be used for?

Measure wetted perimeter during low flow scenarios



What else can HEC-RAS be used for?

Measure wetted perimeter during low flow scenarios




Downstream Release Alternatives Study
Downstream Aquatic Habitat Study
HEC-RAS
No Green Plan
Green Plan
Modified Green Plan
Minimum Flow
Habitat and Water Temperature Effects
Reservoir Effects
Recreation Study
Aquatic Resources Study
Erosion and Sedimenta-tion Study
Water Quality Study
Downstream Effects
Aquatic Habitat Study
Aquatic Resources Study
Erosion and Sedimenta-tion Study
Water Quality Study
Recreation Study
Operating Curve Change Feasibility Analysis Study
HEC-SSP
FFA
HEC Res-Sim
Baseline
1 Foot
2 Foot
3 Foot
4 Foot
HEC-RAS
Harris Forebay WQ Model
Downstream Flooding Effects
Forebay WQ Effects

Harris Forebay WQ Model